

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/915,963 07/26/2001	George Earl Peterson	. 18	8322	
7590 04/18/2000	2			
Docket Administrator (Room 3J-219)		EXAMINER		
Lucent Technologies Inc. 101 Crawfords Corner Road		CHEN, SH	CHEN, SHIH CHAO	
Holmdel, NJ 07733-3030		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2821		
		DATE MAILED: 04/18/2002	DATE MAILED: 04/18/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Assistant Comments	09/915,963	PETERSON, GEORGE EARL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shih-Chao Chen	2821	
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet with	1 the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum is - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply - Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep munication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty datutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI y will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) fi	iled on <u>26 July 2001</u> .		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in conditio closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims	on for allowance except for formal matte ctice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	ers, prosecution as to the merits is . 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 21-25</u> is/are reject	cted.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict Application Papers	ction and/or election requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 July 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	n for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
	documents have been received		
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
application from the Intern * See the attached detailed Office action	national Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).	
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign lar 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim to the foreign large. 	nguage provisional application has bee for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §		
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) 	PTO-948) 5) Notice of Info	Immary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152) .	
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 2	

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 2 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 2 and 12, what is meant by "a traveling wave antenna supporting a phase velocity greater than the speed of light"?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽e) the invention was described in-

⁽¹⁾ an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

⁽²⁾ a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Art Unit: 2821

5. Claims 1, 3-9, 11, 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wicks et al. (US H2016 H).

Regarding claim 1, Wicks et al. teaches in figures 1-5 an antenna structure comprising: at least one antenna element [mono-blade antenna element], that at least one antenna element having at least one taper (See Figure 4); and a symmetrical ground plane [ground plane] coupled with the at least one antenna element [mono-blade antenna element].

Regarding claim 3, Wicks et al. teaches in figures 1-5 the antenna structure wherein the taper comprises a linear constant profile.

Regarding claim 4, Wicks et al. teaches in figures 1-5 the antenna structure wherein the antenna structure supports a cigar-like directional three-dimensional beam pattern and a butterfly wing-like directional three-dimensional beam pattern.

Regarding claim 5, Wicks et al. teaches in figures 1-5 the antenna structure wherein the at least one antenna element [mono-blade antenna element] is positioned at an angle from the symmetrical ground plane [ground plane].

Regarding claim 6, Wicks et al. teaches in figures 1-5 the antenna structure wherein the angle is about 90 degree with respect to the x-, y- and z-axes (See Figure 4).

Regarding claim 7, Wicks et al. teaches in figures 1-5 the antenna structure wherein the at least one antenna element [mono-blade antenna element] is coupled with the symmetrical ground plane [ground plane] by means of an unbalanced impedance [coaxial transmission line feed].

Art Unit: 2821

Regarding claim 8, Wicks et al. teaches in figures 1-5 the antenna structure wherein the unbalanced impedance [coaxial transmission line feed] comprises a coaxial cable.

Regarding claim 9, Wicks et al. teaches in figures 1-5 the antenna structure wherein a first conductor of the unbalanced impedance (See Figure 4) mechanically couples the at least one antenna element [mono-blade antenna element] with the symmetrical ground plane [ground plane].

Regarding claim 11, Wicks et al. teaches in figures 1-5 an antenna structure comprising: an array of at least two antenna elements (See Figure 5), each antenna element [mono-blade antenna element] having at least one taper; a symmetrical ground plane [ground plane]; and an unbalanced impedance [coaxial transmission line feed] for coupling the array of at least two antenna elements with the symmetrical ground plane [ground plane] (See col. 4, lines 7-13).

Regarding claim 13, Wicks et al. teaches in figures 1-5 the antenna structure wherein the taper of at least one antenna element of the array comprises a linear constant profile.

Regarding claim 14, Wicks et al. teaches in figures 1-5 the antenna structure wherein each antenna element of the array supports a cigar-like directional three-dimensional beam pattern and a butterfly wing-like directional three-dimensional beam pattern.

Art Unit: 2821

Regarding claim 15, Wicks et al. teaches in figures 1-5 the antenna structure wherein each antenna element [mono-blade antenna element] of the array is positioned at an angle from the symmetrical ground plane [ground plane].

Regarding claim 16, Wicks et al. teaches in figures 1-5 the antenna structure wherein the angle for each antenna element is about 90 degree with respect to the x-, y- and z-axes (See Figure 4).

Regarding claim 17, Wicks et al. teaches in figures 1-5 the antenna structure wherein the unbalanced impedance [coaxial transmission line feed] comprises a coaxial cable.

Regarding claim 18, Wicks et al. teaches in figures 1-5 the antenna structure wherein a first conductor of the unbalanced impedance (See Figure 4) mechanically couples each antenna element of the array with the symmetrical ground plane [ground plane].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 10, 19, and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wicks et al. (Cited above).

Wicks et al. teaches every feature of the claimed invention except for the symmetrical ground plane is disk shaped.

Art Unit: 2821

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the symmetrical ground plane is disk shaped, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape of the symmetrical ground plane. A change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level ordinary skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claim 20 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art does not disclose or fairly suggest the antenna structure further comprising a slow wave antenna to widen the directivity of the antenna structure as required by claim 20.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shih-Chao Chen whose telephone number is (703) 306-2721. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7 AM to 4:30 PM, First Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on (703) 308-4856. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-5841 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2821

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

> Shih-Chao Chen Examiner Art Unit 2821

SXC April 10, 2002

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800